

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED I | NVENTOR | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|---------------------|
| 08/845.752 | 04/25/97 | SHRIVASTAVA | | R | B-5500 |
| MM92/0314 | | | 乛 | EXAMINER | |
| ADBY RAISSINIA. ESQ. | | | | CRANE. | .s |
| ALLIANCE SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 3099 NORTH FIRST STREET SAN JOSE CA 95134-2006 | | | | 2811 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: | : 03/14/00 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 08/845,752 Applicant(s)

Shrivastava et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Group Art Unit Sara W. Crane

2811



| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/21/99 (Communication) | PA filing papers) | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| ☐ This action is FINAL. | | | |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exc in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle | ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed e, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. F | s set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever failure to respond within the period for response will cause the extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| | is/are pending in the application. | | |
| Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| | is/are rejected. | | |
| Claim(s) | is/are objected to. | | |
| ☐ Claims | are subject to restriction or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Date of The drawing(s) filed on | is approved disapproved. in approved disapp | | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, P Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, I Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 | | | |
| SEE OFFICE ACTIO | ON ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES | | |

10

Application/Control Number: 08/845,752 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

CPA filing papers have been received on 12/21/99. However, the examiner cannot find in the case file any amendment or other response to the previous Office action of 6/22/99. The previous Office action is therefore repeated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification does not appear to disclose source connecting members that are double diffused. The source contacts are double diffused (specification, page 11, first full paragraph).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

ا سران

Application/Control Number: 08/845,752

Art Unit: 2811

Claims 1-6, 10-14, 17-18, 20-22, 24 and 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sung et al.

See reasons of record in the Office action of 10/6/98. As noted previously, one must rotate the Sung figures 1A and 1B by 90 degrees, so that "rows" can be associated with the direction of the Sung conductors 40', running across the page in rotated figure 1B.

With respect to the newly-added limitation to claim 1, Sung "conductive member" 40' is "associated with" each of the two rows immediately adjacent (one above and one below) because it carries voltage to be applied to the source region shared between these two rows. With respect to claim 1, Sung conductor 40' is associated with two rows, and makes contact with the common sources shared between the two rows. With respect to claim 13, the Sung conductor 40' "enables the selective erasing of the memory cells of the first row," because it allows a voltage to be applied to the sources of the memory cells of the first row, and erasing requires that a voltage be applied to the sources. The Sung structure would enable "selective" erasing, because only one source line 40' need be biased at any given time. One or both rows connected to a single source line 40' could be erased, depending on the word line biasing for either or both of the rows.

Claims 7-9, 15-16, 19, 23 and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sung et al. as applied to claims 1-6, 10-14, 17-18, 20-22, 24 and 26-31 above, and further in view of Shrivastava and Juengling.

See reasons of record in the Office action of 10/6/98.

Art Unit: 2811

Conclusion

Applicant's arguments filed with respect to the pending claims have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Sung et al. does not teach separate self-aligned source lines for each pair of adjacent rows. This is clearly shown in the Sung figures, however. Figures 1a and 1b show three source lines 40', each separate and distinct from the others. These source contacts are "self-aligned" as noted by the title. Sung et al. does indeed describe bit lines 44, as well as source lines 40', but the teachings related to the bit lines, as well as other structures, do not detract from the teachings related to the source lines. Note that the functional language describing enablement of selective erasing would be met by the Sung source lines 40', because the structure required for this function is the same structure as taught in the reference, i.e., separate lines 40' for the sources (as opposed to a common substrate connection for all sources as might be found in many prior art flash EPROM devices).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Crane, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4894.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Examiner

Art Unit 2811